

# Transcendence of some Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities (modulo a conjecture)

Paul Monsky

Brandeis University, Waltham MA 02454-9110, USA. [monsky@brandeis.edu](mailto:monsky@brandeis.edu)

---

## Abstract

Suppose that  $h \in F[x, y, z]$ ,  $\text{char } F = 2$ , defines a nodal cubic. In earlier papers we made a precise conjecture as to the Hilbert-Kunz functions attached to the powers of  $h$ . Assuming this conjecture we showed that a class of characteristic 2 hypersurfaces has algebraic but not necessarily rational Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities. We now show that if the conjecture holds, then transcendental multiplicities exist, and in particular that the number  $\sum \binom{2n}{n}^2 / (65,536)^n$ , proved transcendental by Schneider, is a  $Q$ -linear combination of Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities of characteristic 2 hypersurfaces.

---

## 1 The power series $\theta_g$

We recall some notation and results from [3] that will be used throughout.  $X$  is the vector space of functions  $I \rightarrow Q$  where  $I = [0, 1] \cap Z[\frac{1}{2}]$ .  $F$  is a field of characteristic 2, while  $q$  is always a power,  $2^n$ , of 2. For each  $f \neq 0$  in the maximal ideal of  $F[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$  there is an element  $\phi_f$  of  $X$  whose value at  $\frac{i}{q}$  is  $q^{-r} e_n(f^i)$  where  $n \rightarrow e_n$  is the Hilbert-Kunz function. There is a symmetric bilinear product  $\#$ ,  $X \times X \rightarrow X$  with the following property. If  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $F[[u_1, \dots, u_r]]$  and  $F[[v_1, \dots, v_s]]$ , and  $h = f + g$ , then  $\phi_h = \phi_f \# \phi_g$ .  $\Gamma$  is the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finitely generated  $F[T]$ -modules annihilated by a power of  $T$ ; see the material following Theorem 1.5 of [3] for the  $Z$ -basis  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots$  of  $\Gamma$  and the multiplication on  $\Gamma$ . For  $n \geq 0$  and  $\alpha$  in  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha)$  is the element  $\sum_0^{q-1} \left( \alpha \left( \frac{i+1}{q} \right) - \alpha \left( \frac{i}{q} \right) \right) (-)^i \lambda_i$  of  $\Gamma_Q = \Gamma \otimes_Z Q$ . The product in  $\Gamma_Q$  of  $\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_n(\beta)$  is  $\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha \# \beta)$ .

**Definition 1.1**  $\eta : \Gamma_Q \rightarrow Q$  is the linear map taking each  $\lambda_i$  to 1.

**Lemma 1.2** If  $\gamma = \alpha \# \beta$  then for each  $n$ ,  $\eta(\mathcal{L}_n(\gamma)) = \eta(\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha)) \cdot \eta(\mathcal{L}_n(\beta))$ .

**Proof** If  $k$  is the Nim-sum of  $i$  and  $j$  then  $\lambda_i \cdot \lambda_j = \lambda_k$ . It follows that  $\eta(\lambda_i \lambda_j)$  and  $\eta(\lambda_i)\eta(\lambda_j)$  are both 1 and that  $\eta : \Gamma_Q \rightarrow Q$  is multiplicative. Now use the fact that  $\mathcal{L}_n(\gamma) = \mathcal{L}_n(\alpha)\mathcal{L}_n(\beta)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3** *If  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\eta(\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha)) = 2^{2n}(\phi_{uv} \# \alpha) \left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) - 2^{2n-1}(\phi_{uv} \# \alpha) \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right)$ .*

**Proof** Write  $\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha)$  as  $\sum_0^{q-1} (-)^i a_i \lambda_i$  where  $q = 2^n$ . Now  $2^{2n}(\phi_{uv} \# \alpha) \left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right)$  is the co-efficient of  $\lambda_0$  in  $2^{2n}\mathcal{L}_n(\phi_{uv} \# \alpha) = 2^{2n}\mathcal{L}_n(\phi_{uv})\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha)$ . Since  $e_n(u^i v^i) = q^2 - (q-i)^2$ ,  $2^{2n}\mathcal{L}_n(\phi_{uv}) = \sum_0^{q-1} (-)^i (2q-1-2i)\lambda_i$ . Using the formula for the product in  $\Gamma$  we find that  $2^{2n}(\phi_{uv} \# \alpha) \left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right)$  is  $\sum_0^{q-1} (2q-1-2i)a_i$ .

Rewrite the above as  $\sum_0^{\frac{q}{2}-1} (2q-1-4i)(a_{2i} + a_{2i+1}) - 2(a_1 + a_3 + \cdots + a_{q-1})$ . Now  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}(\alpha)$  is easily seen to be  $\sum_0^{\frac{q}{2}-1} (-)^i (a_{2i} + a_{2i+1})\lambda_i$ . The last paragraph, with  $n$  replaced by  $n-1$ , shows that  $2^{2n-2}(\phi_{uv} \# \alpha) \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) = \sum_0^{\frac{q}{2}-1} (q-1-2i)(a_{2i} + a_{2i+1})$ . It follows that the right-hand side of Lemma 1.3 is  $\sum_0^{q-1} a_i - 2(a_1 + a_3 + \cdots + a_{q-1}) = \eta(\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha))$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4** *Let  $g$  be a power series in  $r$  variables over  $F$ , and  $G = uv + g$ , viewed as a power series in  $r+2$  variables. Then for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $e_n(G) - 2^{r+1}e_{n-1}(G) = 2^{rn}\eta(\mathcal{L}_n(\phi_g))$ .*

**Proof** Set  $\alpha = \phi_g$ , and multiply the identity of Lemma 1.3 by  $2^{rn}$ . Then since  $\phi_{uv} \# \alpha = \phi_{uv} \# \phi_g = \phi_G$ , the right-hand side becomes  $e_n(G) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{r+2} \cdot e_{n-1}(G)$ , giving the corollary.  $\square$

**Definition 1.5** *Let  $r$ ,  $g$  and  $G$  be as in Corollary 1.4. Then  $\theta_g$  in  $Z[[w]]$  is  $(1 - 2^{r+1}w) \sum e_n(G)w^n$ .*

Note that the power series  $\theta_g$  converges in  $|w| \leq \frac{1}{2^r}$ , and that  $\theta_g \left(\frac{1}{2^{r+1}}\right)$  is just the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of  $G$ . Furthermore the co-efficient of  $w^n$  in  $\theta_g$  is  $e_n(G) - 2^{r+1}e_{n-1}(G)$ .

Suppose now that we have finitely many power series  $g_i$  over  $F$ , that  $g_i$  is in  $r_i$  variables, and that the variables corresponding to distinct  $g_i$  are pairwise disjoint.

**Theorem 1.6**  *$\theta_{\sum g_i}$  is the Hadamard product of the  $\theta_{g_i}$ .*

**Proof** Let  $G_i = uv + g_i$  and  $M = uv + \sum g_i$ . Applying Corollary 1.4 to each  $g_i$  and to  $\sum g_i$  and using Lemma 1.2 repeatedly we find that  $e_n(M) - 2^{1+\sum r_i}e_{n-1}(M)$  is the product of the various  $e_n(G_i) - 2^{1+r_i}e_{n-1}(G_i)$ . In other words the co-efficient of  $w^n$  in  $\theta_{\sum g_i}$  is the product of the co-efficients of  $w^n$  in the various  $\theta_{g_i}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.7** *The Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of  $uv + \sum g_i$  is the value at  $w = \frac{1}{2^{1+\sum r_i}}$  of the Hadamard product of the  $\theta_{g_i}$ .*

Corollary 1.7 is the key to this note. It allows us to pass from the algebraic realm (the  $\theta$  attached to  $x^3 + y^3 + xyz$  is conjectured by us to be algebraic of degree 2 over  $Q(w)$ ) to the transcendental realm by making use of the Hadamard product. We next give some easy results about  $\theta$ .

**Theorem 1.8** *Let  $g$  be an  $r$ -variable power series and  $h = g^2$ . Then  $\theta_h = 1 + 2^r w \cdot \theta_g$ .*

**Proof** If  $i \leq q$ ,  $\phi_h\left(\frac{i}{2q}\right) = \phi_g\left(\frac{2i}{2q}\right)$ , while if  $i \geq q$ ,  $\phi_h\left(\frac{i}{2q}\right) = 1$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(\phi_h) = \mathcal{L}_n(\phi_g)$  for all  $n$ . Applying  $\eta$  and multiplying by  $2^{r(n+1)}$  we find from Corollary 1.4 that the left hand side of this equation becomes  $e_{n+1}(H) - 2^{r+1}e_n(H)$  where  $H = uv + h$ . And the right-hand side becomes  $2^r(e_n(G) - 2^{r+1}e_{n-1}(G))$  where  $G = uv + g$ . We have shown that the co-efficients of  $w^{n+1}$  in  $\theta_h$  and in  $2^r w \cdot \theta_g$  are equal, giving the theorem.  $\square$

When  $r = 1$  and  $g$  is a power of the variable it's easy to calculate  $\theta_g$ . In particular we find:

**Lemma 1.9** *When  $r = 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \theta_{x^5} - \theta_{x^3} = 2 \sum_1^\infty w^{2n} \\ (b) \quad & 2\theta_{x^3} - \theta_{x^5} = 1 + 2 \sum_0^\infty w^{2n+1} \end{aligned}$$

*Now take  $r = 3$ , fix  $f$  in  $F[[x, y, z]]$ , and let  $\theta_f = \sum a_n w^n$ . We shall use Corollary 1.7 to show that certain infinite sums involving the  $a_n$  are  $Q$ -linear combinations of Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities.*

**Theorem 1.10**  *$\sum \frac{a_{2n}^2}{2^{16n}}$ ,  $\sum \frac{a_{2n+1}^2}{2^{16n}}$ ,  $\sum \frac{a_{2n}a_{2n+1}}{2^{16n}}$ ,  $\sum \frac{a_{2n+1}a_{2n+2}}{2^{16n}}$  and  $\sum \frac{a_{2n}a_{2n+2}}{2^{16n}}$  are all  $Q$ -linear combinations of Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities.*

**Proof** Using Theorem 1.8 we find that the co-efficients of  $w^{2n+2}$  in  $\theta_f$  and  $\theta_{f^2}$  are  $a_{2n+2}$  and  $8a_{2n+1}$ . So the Hadamard product of  $\theta_f$ ,  $\theta_{f^2}$  and  $\theta_{x^5} - \theta_{x^3} = 2 \sum_0^\infty w^{2n+2}$  is  $16 \sum_0^\infty a_{2n+1}a_{2n+2}w^{2n+2}$ . Since  $1 + (3 + 3 + 1) = 8$ , Corollary 1.7 with  $g_1 = f$ ,  $g_2 = f(X, Y, Z)^2$  and  $g_3 = T^3$  or  $T^5$  shows us that this Hadamard product, evaluated at  $\frac{1}{2^8}$ , is a difference of two Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities. Also, the co-efficients of  $w^{2n+1}$  in  $\theta_f$  and  $\theta_{f^2}$  are  $a_{2n+1}$  and  $8a_{2n}$ . So the Hadamard product of  $\theta_f$ ,  $\theta_{f^2}$  and  $2\theta_{x^3} - \theta_{x^5} = 1 + 2 \sum_0^\infty w^{2n+1}$  is  $1 + 16 \sum_0^\infty a_{2n}a_{2n+1}w^{2n+1}$ . As above we see that this Hadamard product, evaluated at  $\frac{1}{2^8}$ , is a  $Z$ -linear combination of two Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities. We have proved the third and fourth of the assertions of the theorem. To prove the first and the second we argue similarly with  $g_1 = f$  and  $g_2 = f(X, Y, Z)$ . For the final assertion we take  $g_1 = f$  and  $g_2 = f(X, Y, Z)^4$ , using Theorem 1.8 to calculate  $\theta_{g_2}$ .  $\square$

## 2 Transcendence results (modulo a conjecture)

Now let  $r = 3$ , and  $f = x^3 + y^3 + xyz$  be the defining equation of a nodal cubic. In Definitions 2.1 and 2.2 of [3] we constructed elements  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots$  of  $X$ ; we further conjectured that  $\phi_f = t + \phi_0$ . (This is an alternative form of a conjecture we made earlier in [2].)

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $A_n$  be the binomial co-efficient  $\binom{2n}{n}$ , so that  $\sum A_n w^{2n}$  converges to  $(1 - 4w^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  in the disc  $|w| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then if the conjecture of [3] holds,  $(1 - 6w + 8w^2)\theta_f = (1 - 2w) + (2w - 8w^2 - 24w^3) \sum A_n w^{2n}$ .*

**Proof** This is shown in the paragraph following Corollary 2.7 of [2]. Alternatively it is an easy consequence of Lemma 2.6 of [3], since in the notation of that lemma,  $\sum e_n(uv + f)w^n$  is, granting the conjecture, equal to  $\sum(2^{-n} + E_1(2^{-n}))(32w)^n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2** *If the conjecture of [3] holds, then the value of  $\sum A_n^2 \lambda^{2n}$  at  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2^8}$  is a  $Q$ -linear combination of Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities.*

**Proof** Suppose  $\theta_f = \sum a_n w^n$ . Comparing co-efficients of  $w^{2n+2}$  in the identity of Lemma 2.1 we see that  $a_{2n+2} - 6a_{2n+1} + 8a_{2n} = -8A_n$ . So  $64 \sum A_n^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2^{16n}}\right) = \sum (a_{2n+2} - 6a_{2n+1} + 8a_{2n})^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2^{16n}}\right)$ . Expanding and using Theorem 1.10 we get the result.  $\square$

It only remains to show that  $\sum A_n^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2^{16n}}\right)$  is transcendental. Results of this sort about special values of hypergeometric functions were first shown by Schneider [4]; we'll sketch a proof.

**Lemma 2.3 (Euler)** *If  $0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\int_{-1}^1 \frac{dw}{\sqrt{(1-16\lambda^2 w^2)(1-w^2)}} = \pi \sum A_n^2 \lambda^{2n}$ .*

**Proof**  $(1 - 16\lambda^2 w^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum (4\lambda^2)^n A_n w^{2n}$ . So our integral is  $\sum (4\lambda^2)^n A_n \cdot \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w^{2n} dw}{\sqrt{1-w^2}}$ . But as one learns in every introductory calculus course,  $\int_{-1}^1 \frac{w^{2n} dw}{\sqrt{1-w^2}} = \frac{\pi A_n}{4^n}$ .  $\square$

Now suppose that  $\lambda$  in  $(0, \frac{1}{4})$  is rational, and consider the affine curve  $y^2 = (1 - 16\lambda^2 x^2)(1 - x^2)$  defined over  $Q$ . The real locus of this curve has a single bounded component. This component is a simple closed curve containing  $(-1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0)$ .  $\frac{dx}{y}$  is a 1-form on our affine curve. When we integrate  $\frac{dx}{y}$  over the bounded component (with the clockwise orientation) the integrals over the pieces in the upper and lower half-planes are equal, and each is  $\int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(1-16\lambda^2 x^2)(1-x^2)}}$ . So by Lemma 2.3 the integral of  $\frac{dx}{y}$  over the bounded component is  $2\pi \sum A_n^2 \lambda^{2n}$ .

**Lemma 2.4** *Suppose  $\lambda$  in  $(0, \frac{1}{4})$  is rational. Then there is an elliptic curve  $E$  defined over  $Q$ , a holomorphic 1-form on  $E$  rational over  $Q$  and a 1-cycle on*

$E$ , such that the integral of the form over the 1-cycle is  $2\pi \sum A_n^2 \lambda^{2n}$ .

**Proof** Projectify the affine curve  $y^2 = (1 - 16\lambda^2 x^2)(1 - x^2)$ , and let  $E$  be a desingularization over  $Q$  of the resulting complex projective plane quartic. The 1-form is the pull-back of  $\frac{dx}{y}$ , and the 1-cycle corresponds to the inverse image in  $E$  of the bounded component of the real locus of the affine curve  $y^2 = (1 - 16\lambda^2 x^2)(1 - x^2)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5 (Schneider)** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $Q$  and  $\omega \neq 0$  be the integral over a 1-cycle on  $E$  of a holomorphic  $Q$ -rational 1-form. The  $\frac{\omega}{\pi}$  is transcendental.*

**Proof** On page 63 of [4], Schneider deduces this from his Theorem 13. Lang later put Theorem 13 into a more general setting. I'll indicate how Theorem 2.5 above follows from this “Schneider-Lang theorem”.

We may assume that the curve is  $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$  with  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  rational, and that the 1-form is  $\frac{dx}{y}$ . Let  $\wp$  be the associated Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function.  $\wp(z + \omega) = \wp(z)$  and  $(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 - g_2\wp - g_3$ . Choose  $z_0$  with  $\wp(z_0)$  and consequently  $\wp'(z_0)$  algebraic. Suppose that  $\frac{\omega}{\pi}$  is algebraic. We define a subring  $Y$  of the field of meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  —  $Y$  is generated over  $Q$  by  $\wp(z + z_0)$ ,  $\wp'(z + z_0)$ ,  $e^{\frac{2\pi iz}{\omega}}$ , and the algebraic constants  $\wp(z_0)$ ,  $\wp'(z_0)$  and  $\frac{2\pi i}{\omega}$ .  $Y$  is stable under  $\frac{d}{dz}$ . Furthermore the values of the 6 generators of  $Y$  at the infinitely many points  $\omega, 2\omega, 3\omega, \dots$  all lie in a fixed number field. The Schneider-Lang theorem [1] then tells us that the transcendence degree of  $Y$  over  $Q$  is  $\leq 1$  which is evidently false.  $\square$

Combining Lemma 2.4 with Theorem 2.5 we find:

**Corollary 2.6** *If  $\lambda$  in  $(0, \frac{1}{4})$  is rational then  $\sum A_n^2 \lambda^{2n}$  is transcendental.*

So if the conjecture of [3] holds, the transcendental number  $\sum \frac{A_n^2}{2^{16n}}$  is a  $Q$ -linear combination of Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities, and transcendental Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities exist.

## References

- [1] S. Lang, Introduction to transcendental numbers, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1966.
- [2] P. Monsky, Rationality of Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities: a likely counterexample, Michigan Math. J. 57 (2008), 605–613.
- [3] P. Monsky, Algebraicity of some Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities (modulo a conjecture), Preprint (2009), arXiv:math.AC/0907.2470.
- [4] T. Schneider, Einführung in die transzendenten Zahlen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957.